UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/559,905 | 12/08/2005 | Valery N Khabashesku | 11321-P066WOUS | 1062 |
| Robert C Shadd | 7590 07/10/200 lox | EXAMINER | | |
| Winstead Sechr | est & Minick | FEELY, MICHAEL J | | |
| P O box 50784 Dallas, TX 7520 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1796 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | NOTIFICATION DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 07/10/2008 | ELECTRONIC |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/559,905 | KHABASHESKU ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Michael J. Feely | 1796 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply | ppears on the cover sheet with th | e correspondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr tute, cause the application to become ABANDO | ON. The timely filed Tom the mailing date of this communication. The property of the communication of the communication. | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08</u> | December 2005 | | | | | |
| | nis action is non-final. | | | | | |
| <i>,</i> | <i>7</i> — | | | | | |
| , | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-91</u> is/are pending in the application | | | | | | |
| ,— | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | |
| 8)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-91</u> are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | 4) Interview Summ. Paper No(s)/Mai 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other: | | | | | |

Art Unit: 1796

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

- Group I, claim(s) 1-72, drawn to a CNT-epoxy polymer composite and a method of making said composite.
- Group II, claim(s) 73-91, drawn to a CNT-enhanced FRP composite and a method of making said composite.
- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I & II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons (see the reasons set forth in the International Preliminary Report or Patentability):

The single general concept underlying all the independent claims of the present application is the production of composite materials comprising carbon nanotubes and a polymeric matrix. This concept is not new (cf. e.g. WO 02/060812, examples 19-25).

The following technical feature of claims 1-72 makes a contribution over the prior art and can be considered as special technical feature within the meaning of Rule 13.2 PCT: the polymeric matrix is an epoxy resin. The problem solved by these special technical features can be construed as to provide composite materials with high strength and reduced weight.

The following technical feature of claims 73-91 makes a contribution over the prior art and can be considered as a special technical feature within the meaning of Rule 13.2 PCT: the composite material further comprises a fibrous material. The problem solved by this special technical feature can be construed as to provide fiber-reinforced composite materials comprising carbon nanotubes.

Also, examining the possible correspondence by technical effect, one finds that the technical effect of the first invention is an increase in the strength and reduction in weight of the composite material and that the technical effect of the second invention is that fiber-reinforced composite materials comprising carbon nanotubes are produced. This appears to show lack of corresponding technical effect as well. Consequently, neither the objective problem underlying the subjects of the claimed inventions, nor their solutions defined by the special technical

Art Unit: 1796

features allow for a relationship to be established between the said inventions, involving a single general inventive concept.

In conclusion, the groups of claims are not linked by common or corresponding special technical features and define two different inventions not linked by a single general inventive concept.

3. Should applicant elect Group II, these claims are directed to more than one *polymeric* species of the generic invention. These *polymeric* species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The *polymeric* species are as follows:

- Epoxy;
- Vinyl ester:
- Bismaleimide:
- Combination of epoxy and vinyl ester;
- Combination of epoxy and bismaleimide;
- Combination of vinyl ester and bismaleimide; and
- Combination of epoxy, vinyl ester, and bismaleimide.

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single *polymeric* species to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 1796

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

- Epoxy (claims 81, 82, 88, 89, and 91);
- Vinyl ester (claims 81 and 88);
- Bismaleimide (claims 81 and 88);
- Combination of epoxy and vinyl ester (claims 81 and 88);
- Combination of epoxy and bismaleimide (claims 81 and 88);
- Combination of vinyl ester and bismaleimide (claims 81 and 88); and
- Combination of epoxy, vinyl ester, and bismaleimide (claims 81 and 88).

The following claim(s) are generic: 73-80, 83-87, and 90.

- 5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: they are unrelated polymeric species yielding cured products with dissimilar physical properties.
- 6. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement may be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1796

Communication

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Michael J. Feely whose telephone number is (571)272-1086. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Harold Y. Pyon can be reached on 571-272-1498. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Michael J Feely/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796

July 3, 2008